IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

THURSDAY, THE 7TH JUNE 2007 / 17TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 17377 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

CHANDRA KUMAR N.A.,
LECTURER ILN COMPUTER ENGILNEERING, GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC COLLEGE, PRUMBAVOOR.

BY ADV. SRI.V.AJITH NARAYANAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DIRECTOR OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION, DIRECTORATE OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. P.V.ANTONY, LECTURER IN COMPUTER ENGINEERING, GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC COLLEGE, VECHOOCHIRA, PATHANAMTHITTA DIST.

4. SHAJILA BEEGAM M.K.,LECTURER IN COMPUTER ENGINEERING, GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC COLLEGE, VENNIKULAM.

5. THE SENIOR JOINT DIRECTOR (PS), DIRECTORATE OF TECXHNICAL EDUCATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-23.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. Basheer, J.

- -

W.P(C) No. 17377 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 7th day of June, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner has got a grievance that his request for a transfer to Govt. Polytechnic College, Kalamassery has been overlooked without any justifiable reasons and respondents 3 and 4 have been given the benefit of transfer to stations of their choice. He has got a further contention that the norms and regulations of transfer have been violated in the process. I do not propose to deal with the above contentions raised by the petitioner at this stage, in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. He submits that petitioner will be satisfied if an appropriate direction is issued to respondent No1. to take a decision on Ext.P8 representation, in which the petitioner has highlighted all the relevant aspects of the issue.

2. Learned Government Pleader submits that if Ext.P8 has been preferred as contended by the petitioner, a suitable decision in the matter will be taken without any delay.

3. In the above facts and circumstances the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P8 strictly on its merit and accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within 2 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention that respondent No.1 shall ensure that the petitioner and other persons who are likely to be affected , are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any orders are passed.

Petitioner shall produce a certified copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before respondent No.1 for compliance.

A.K. Basheer
Judge.

an.